



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/779,922	02/16/2004	Giovanni M. Della-Libera	MS1-1857US	8992
22801	7590	12/05/2008	EXAMINER	
LEE & HAYES, PLLC 601 W. RIVERSIDE AVENUE SUITE 1400 SPOKANE, WA 99201				ABRISHAMKAR, KAVEH
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
		2431		
			MAIL DATE	
			DELIVERY MODE	
			12/05/2008	
			PAPER	

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/779,922	DELLA-LIBERA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	KAVEH ABRISHAMKAR	2431	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Kaveh Abrishamkar. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Randall Palmer (Reg. No. 61,440). (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 25 November 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 13, 19, and 31-40.

Identification of prior art discussed: Davis et al. (U.S. Patent 6,931,532).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Applicant presented arguments that the Cited Prior Art (CPA) does not contain 2 sets of security data, and the Applicant stated that the CPA has an element definition and a policy object, but not 2 sets of security data. Also, clarification was given on the 101 rejection, and the Examiner agreed to remove the 112 rejection once the response is received.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Kaveh Abrishamkar/ Examiner, Art Unit 2431	
--	--